

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,146	11/05/2001		Hisayoshi Ito	213630US3XPCT	2530
22850	7590	02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/926,146 ITO ET AL. Art Unit Examiner 1761 Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Theref final reconditi	re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🗀	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) ∑	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2.🖂	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	€
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.🖾	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants rely on proposed claim amendments.	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. 🖾	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: None.	
	Claim(s) objected to: None.	
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-15.	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.	Other:	
	Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1761

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed limitations not previously searched. The new matter issue will have to be adressed...